

(Euclidean) Wormholes and Wilson Loops

Panos Betzios

University of British Columbia

Work in collaboration with O. Papadoulaki arXiv:2311.09289 arXiv:1903.05658,2110.14655 (+ Elias Kiritsis) and in progress (+ Ji-Hoon Lee)

ITMP Lomonosov Moscow State University

31 January 2024

Why to study (Euclidean) Wormholes

Wormholes are interesting (exotic) solutions of GR + matter

- Proposed physical effects due to wormholes
 - They lead to a non-trivial topology of space(time)
 - Implications on the information paradox? Connect the black hole interior with exterior?
 - Affecting the low energy coupling constants? (α -parameters) [Lavrelashvili, Rubakov, Tinyakov, Coleman, Hawking ...]
 - Resolving the Cosmological Constant problem?
 - Related to Cosmologies (Bang-Crunch universes) upon analytic continuation

Why to study (Euclidean) Wormholes

Wormholes are interesting (exotic) solutions of GR + matter

- Proposed physical effects due to wormholes
 - They lead to a non-trivial topology of space(time)
 - Implications on the information paradox? Connect the black hole interior with exterior?
 - Affecting the low energy coupling constants? (α -parameters) [Lavrelashvili, Rubakov, Tinyakov, Coleman, Hawking ...]
 - Resolving the Cosmological Constant problem?
 - Related to Cosmologies (Bang-Crunch universes) upon analytic continuation
- Different types of wormholes
 - Lorentzian vs Euclidean
 - Macroscopic multi-boundary geometries (saddles) vs. Microscopic "gas of wormholes" (α-parameters)
 - Different characteristic scales $L_P \ll L_W \sim L_{macro}$ vs. $L_P \leq L_W \ll L_{macro}$ ex: $L_{macro} = L_{AdS}$
- Our main focus will be macroscopic (Euclidean) wormholes in the context of holography (AdS/CFT)

Lorentzian wormholes or "ER = EPR"

• Einstein - Rosen Bridge: Connects the two sides of the eternal black hole



 Wormhole = Einstein Podolski Rosen pair of two black holes in a particular entangled state of two non-interacting QFT's:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{n} e^{-\beta E_n/2} |E_n\rangle_L^{CPT} \times |E_n\rangle_R$$

• Large amounts of entanglement can give rise

to a geometric connection!

Euclidean Wormholes (saddles)



- There is no Lorentzian time, only Euclidean space (analogous to gravitational instantons)
- To have such solutions, one needs locally negative Euclidean Energy to support the throat from collapsing
- Such energy can be provided by axionic fields or "magnetic" fluxes
- Several solutions in different dimensions/setups (some can be embedded in the standard model + gravity)
 - a subset of those is perturbatively stable [Marolf-Santos ...]



Euclidean Wormholes (saddles)



- There is no Lorentzian time, only Euclidean space (analogous to gravitational instantons)
- To have such solutions, one needs locally negative Euclidean Energy to support the throat from collapsing
- Such energy can be provided by axionic fields or "magnetic" fluxes
- Several solutions in different dimensions/setups (some can be embedded in the standard model + gravity)
 - a subset of those is perturbatively stable [Marolf-Santos ...]

Pertinent Questions

- Microscopic UV complete models of EWs? In AdS/CFT? (we want to understand string theory on target space wormhole backgrounds)
- Worldsheet description of target space wormholes? (some putative WZW coset models in [PB Gaddam Papadoulaki (2023) + in progress])

Euclidean Wormholes (saddles)



- There is no Lorentzian time, only Euclidean space (analogous to gravitational instantons)
- To have such solutions, one needs locally negative Euclidean Energy to support the throat from collapsing
- Such energy can be provided by axionic fields or "magnetic" fluxes
- Several solutions in different dimensions/setups (some can be embedded in the standard model + gravity)
 - a subset of those is perturbatively stable [Marolf-Santos ...]

Pertinent Questions

- Microscopic UV complete models of EWs? In AdS/CFT? (we want to understand string theory on target space wormhole backgrounds)
- Worldsheet description of target space wormholes? (some putative WZW coset models in [PB Gaddam Papadoulaki (2023) + in progress])
 There is a further reason why Euclidean wormholes are interesting

Euclidean Wormholes and Bang-Crunch Cosmologies AdS/CFT context: [Maldacena-Maoz (04), PB-Gaddam-Papadoulaki (17) + Kiritsis (19-21), Van Raamsdonk et. al. (20-23) ...]

- Euclidean geometries (Z_2 symmetry) have interesting connections to Lorentzian geometries via analytic continuation: Slicing them we define states/wavefunctions
- Ex: Sphere ↔ Hartle-Hawking wavefunction (no boundary state) for Cosmology, Euclidean cigar ↔ (TFD state) for the eternal black hole
- A common misconception: Euclidean Wormholes are NOT related to Black Holes (horizons) via analytic continuation Instead:



- The most interesting analytic continuation (radial) is related to Bang - Crunch Cosmologies (these can exist even for negative $\Lambda...$)
- Along the boundary: leads to traversable wormhole geometries (but various known saddles develop pathologies - complex background fields)

Symmetries and correlators of local operators

- [PB Kiritsis Papadoulaki (19), PB Papadoulaki (23)]
 - No obvious entanglement as for Lorentzian wormholes (BH horizons)
 - Global symmetries for the boundary theories? ↔ A common Bulk "Gauss Law constraint" and gauge field
 - Symmetries are broken to their diagonal part: G₁ × G₂ → G_{diag}. (spontaneous vs. explicit - whether there is a competing factorised saddle or not with the same bcs for bulk fields)



- We find two types of correlation functions, either on a single boundary such as $\langle O_1 O_1 \rangle$ or $\langle O_2 O_2 \rangle$, or cross-correlators across the two boundaries such as $\langle O_1 O_2 \rangle$
- No short distance singularities in the cross-correlators



Symmetries and correlators of local operators

- [PB Kiritsis Papadoulaki (19), PB Papadoulaki (23)]
 - No obvious entanglement as for Lorentzian wormholes (BH horizons)
 - Global symmetries for the boundary theories? ↔ A common Bulk "Gauss Law constraint" and gauge field
 - Symmetries are broken to their diagonal part: G₁ × G₂ → G_{diag}. (spontaneous vs. explicit - whether there is a competing factorised saddle or not with the same bcs for bulk fields)



- We find two types of correlation functions, either on a single boundary such as $\langle O_1 O_1 \rangle$ or $\langle O_2 O_2 \rangle$, or cross-correlators across the two boundaries such as $\langle O_1 O_2 \rangle$
- No short distance singularities in the cross-correlators
- One might have expected: different decoupled EQFTs on $\partial \mathcal{M} = \bigcup_i \partial \mathcal{M}_i$ \Rightarrow Cross correlators are zero or $Z(J_1, J_2) = Z_1(J_1)Z_2(J_2)$
- Wormhole Bulk dictates otherwise ⇒ What gives rise to the peculiar properties of the cross-correlators?

The factorisation problem: $Z(J_1, J_2) \neq Z_1(J_1)Z_2(J_2)$ [Maldacena - Maoz (2004) ...]



Possible resolutions in the literature :

- The QGR path integral corresponds to an average: $\langle Z(J_1)Z(J_2) \rangle \Rightarrow$ Several options [...]
- Explicit averaging over ensembles of CFT's (Unitarity crisis)
- In canonical AdS/CFT there is a single theory with fixed parameters
- Approximate statistical averaging ("ETH" "Quantum Chaos")
 ⇒ "Statistical wormholes" from complicated/almost random Hamiltonians [...]
- Consistency with $\mathcal{N} = 4$ planar integrability? \Rightarrow Observables/states above the BH threshold [Schlenker - Witten ...]

The "statistical wormholes" need not be saddles of (SU)GRA eoms

```
No factorisation problem due to interactions?

[PB - Kiritsis - Papadoulaki (19 - 21)], see also related work by [Van Raamsdonk et.

al. (20-22)] and [Bachas - Lavdas (18)]
```

A straightforward? resolution for wormhole saddles:

- Interactions between holographic QFT's
- It is actually quite subtle!: "Why to have a disconnected pair of boundaries and not a single one?" ⇒ UV soft - IR strong interactions (reminiscent of confinement...)
- Or: can the exact Schwinger functional acquire an "averaged" form

$$Z_{system}(J_1, J_2) = \sum_{S} e^{w(S)} Z_S^{(QFT1)}(J_1) Z_S^{(QFT2)}(J_2)$$

in a single unitary/reflection positive system? (S some "sector")
[PB - Kiritsis - Papadoulaki (21)]

 Cross correlators ⇒ averages of lower point correlators in individual subsystems - no short distance singularities

Non-local observables: Wilson Loops

[PB - Kiritsis - Papadoulaki (2019), Refined in: PB - Papadoulaki (2023)]

- Wilson loop observables $W(C) = \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathcal{P} \exp i \oint_C A_{\mu} dx^{\mu} \right)$ refine the analysis of [Schlenker Witten (2022)] that studied the compressibility properties of various boundary cycles C in the wormhole bulk
- In holography: Find the string worldsheet ending on the corresponding loop *C* on a boundary (if it exists) and minimize its area
- Simplest observable: expectation value of a single Wilson loop $\langle W(C)\rangle$



Universal features:

- Large loops on the boundary penetrate further in the bulk and we can probe the IR properties of the boundary dual
- Typically we find an Area law behaviour in the IR



Non-local observables: Wilson Loops

[PB - Kiritsis - Papadoulaki (2019), Refined in: PB - Papadoulaki (2023)]

- Wilson loop observables $W(C) = \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathcal{P} \exp i \oint_C A_{\mu} dx^{\mu} \right)$ refine the analysis of [Schlenker Witten (2022)] that studied the compressibility properties of various boundary cycles C in the wormhole bulk
- In holography: Find the string worldsheet ending on the corresponding loop *C* on a boundary (if it exists) and minimize its area
- Simplest observable: expectation value of a single Wilson loop $\langle W(C) \rangle$



Universal features:

- Large loops on the boundary penetrate further in the bulk and we can probe the IR properties of the boundary dual
- Typically we find an Area law behaviour in the IR
- If the EW geometry contains a non-contractible (thermal) cycle $C_{\beta} : S_{\beta}^{1}$, then there is no bulk surface ending on it, so that $\langle W_{P}(C_{\beta}) \rangle = 0$
- Again reminiscent of some kind of confining behaviour (center symmetry) In contrast with the BH cigar for which $\langle W_P(C_\beta) \rangle \neq 0$ (deconfinement)

Wilson Loop correlators (universal results)



- Study loop cross-correlators $\langle W(C_1)W(C_2)\rangle$, the two loops residing on different boundaries
- As we shrink the boundary loops, we find that the leading configuration of lowest action is the one for two disconnected loops
- In the regime of large Wilson loops, the leading contribution originates from a single surface connecting the two loops having a cylinder topology $S^1 \times R$
- Large loops ⇒ Strong IR cross-coupling



Wilson Loop correlators (universal results)



- Study loop cross-correlators $\langle W(C_1)W(C_2)\rangle$, the two loops residing on different boundaries
- As we shrink the boundary loops, we find that the leading configuration of lowest action is the one for two disconnected loops
- In the regime of large Wilson loops, the leading contribution originates from a single surface connecting the two loops having a cylinder topology $S^1\times R$
- Large loops \Rightarrow Strong IR cross-coupling
- In the presence of a a non-contractible (thermal) cycle $C_{\beta} : S_{\beta}^{1}$, we find only a connected cylindrical bulk surface $(\langle W_{P}(C_{\beta}^{(1)})W_{P}(C_{\beta}^{(2)})\rangle \neq 0)$
- Consistent with unbroken diagonal center symmetry ex: $Z_N^{(1)} \times Z_N^{(2)} \rightarrow Z_N^{diag.}$ "cross-confining behaviour" - diagonal singlets

Dual QFT models



Tripartite BQFT construction

[van Raamsdonk (20) - (22)], [PB - Kiritsis - Papadoulaki (21)]

• Two *d*-dim (holographic) BQFT's on Σ coupled through a d + 1-dim intermediate ("messenger") theory on $I \times \Sigma$



- Consider a system for which $c_{d+1} \ll c_d$
- We would like the system to flow to a gapped/confining theory in the IR
- The geometric idea: The dual bulk gravity can localise on d + 1-dim EOW branes that bend and connect in the IR [van Raamsdonk]
- We focus in the case where the messenger theory is (quasi) topological $(TQFT_{d+1}) \Rightarrow$ No contamination from d+2 bulk perturbative modes, natural gap in the IR ... [PB Kiritsis Papadoulaki]
- Integrate out $TQFT_{d+1} \Rightarrow$ The Schwinger functional does become

$$Z_{system} = \sum_{S} e^{w(S)} Z_{S}^{(BQFT_{1})}(J_{1}) Z_{S}^{(BQFT_{2})}(J_{2})$$

Solvable microscopic tripartite model (2d - 1d)[PB - Kiritsis - Papadoulaki (21), PB - Papadoulaki (23)]

• Consider a generalised YM in 2d (au,z) with BF action

$$S_{gYM} = \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{tr} BF + \frac{\theta}{g_{YM}^2} \int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{tr} B \, d\mu - \frac{1}{2g_{YM}^2} \int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{tr} \Phi(B) \, d\mu$$
 where $F = dA + A \wedge A$

• Couple it with two 1d U(N) gauged matrix quantum mechanics theories $M_{1,2}(\tau)$ at the endpoints of an interval $I (z = \pm L)$

$$S_{MQM_{1,2}} = \int d\tau \operatorname{tr} \left(\frac{1}{2} (D_{\tau} M_{1,2})^2 - V(M_{1,2}) \right), \ D_{\tau} M_{1,2} = \partial_{\tau} M_{1,2} + i [A_{\tau}^{1,2}, M_{1,2}]$$

 $A_\tau(\tau,z=\pm L)=A_\tau^{1,2}(\tau)$ is the value of the 2d gauge field on the two boundaries

- Solvable system: 2d YM - ($\Phi(B)=B^2$) coupled to two Gaussian MQM ($V(M_{1,2})=\frac{1}{2}M_{1,2}^2)$

"Entangling" the representations (compact τ)

- Place the system on $I imes S^1$ (cylinder) of length L and circumference eta
- The 2d YM amplitude on the cylinder is

$$Z_{YM}(U_1, U_2) = \sum_R \chi_R(U_1) \chi_R(U_2^{\dagger}) e^{-L \frac{g_{YM}^2}{N} C_R^{(2)} + i\theta C_R^{(1)}}$$

and depends on the two asymptotic holonomies $U_{1,2}=\exp\oint d\tau A_{\tau}^{1,2}$ (zero modes of the gauge field)

- $R \ a \ U(N)$ representation, $C_R^{(1,2)}$ its Casimirs and $\chi_R(U)$ are U(N) characters/wavefunctions at the ends of the cylinder
- Integrate out $M_{1,2}$ to obtain the (twisted) MQM partition functions $Z_{1,2}^{MQM}(U_{1,2};\beta) = \int DM_{1,2} \langle U_{1,2}M_{1,2}U_{1,2}^{\dagger} | M_{1,2} \rangle_{H.Osc.}$
- Couple the 2d YM amplitude $Z_{YM}(U_1, U_2)$ to the two MQM partition functions $Z_{1,2}^{MQM}(U_{1,2};\beta)$ and integrate over the zero modes $U_{1,2}$

"Entangling" the representations (compact τ)

• The complete partition function on $I\times S^1$ is

$$\begin{split} Z_{system} &= \sum_{R} e^{-L \frac{g_{YM}^2}{N} C_R^{(2)} + i\theta C_R^{(1)}} Z_R^{MQM_1}(\beta) Z_R^{MQM_2}(\beta) \,, \\ Z_R^{MQM}(\beta) &= \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_R} e^{-\beta \hat{H}_R^{MQM}} \,=\, \int DU\chi_R(U) Z^{MQM}(U;\beta) \end{split}$$

with β the S^1 size and \mathcal{H}_R the Hilbert space of MQM in a fixed representation R [Kazakov, Klebanov \ldots]

• The two MQM representations R are correlated/"entangled"

 $\sum_R \Rightarrow$ is a form of "averaging", consistent with unitarity (reflection positivity) for a single (tripartite) quantum mechanical system \Rightarrow What we previously called "the sectors S"



"Entangling" the representations (compact τ)

- The complete partition function on $I\times S^1$ is

$$Z_{system} = \sum_{R} e^{-L\frac{g_{YM}^2}{N}C_R^{(2)} + i\theta C_R^{(1)}} Z_R^{MQM_1}(\beta) Z_R^{MQM_2}(\beta) ,$$
$$Z_R^{MQM}(\beta) = \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{H}_R} e^{-\beta \hat{H}_R^{MQM}} = \int DU\chi_R(U) Z^{MQM}(U;\beta)$$

with β the S^1 size and \mathcal{H}_R the Hilbert space of MQM in a fixed representation R [Kazakov, Klebanov \ldots]

• The two MQM representations R are correlated/"entangled"

 $\sum_R \Rightarrow$ is a form of "averaging", consistent with unitarity (reflection positivity) for a single (tripartite) quantum mechanical system \Rightarrow What we previously called "the sectors S"

- No approximation (such as ETH or coarse graining) or averaging over theories involved!
- The allowed representations in the sum are center symmetric (zero n-ality), so indeed $g_c^{(1)} \times g_c^{(2)} \to g_c^{(diag.)}$ [PB Papadoulaki (23)]

Higher Dimensional Examples Sketch of the prescription

- Consider a space with the topology $\mathcal{M} = \Sigma \times I$
- Couple two holographic BQFT's on the interval ends $\Sigma_{1,2}$ using the interval transition amplitude of a (quasi) topological TQFT in $\Sigma \times I$

2D/3D dimensional example

- Two 2D BQFT's coupled through a Chern-Simons theory living in 3D
- Convenient to use radial quantisation $(A_r = 0)$ to define the interval transition amplitude [Elitzur-Moore-Schwimmer-Seiberg])
- Couple the CS. transition amplitude to the two 2D (gauged) BQFT's and integrate over the gauge field zero modes
- Ex: $\Sigma = T^2$: Replace the wavefunctions $\chi_R(U)$ of the 2D YM model with Weyl-Kac characters $\chi_{R,k}(\alpha, \tau)$
- Important to construct a higher dimensional (SUSic) model with control on both sides of the duality ...

$\mathcal{N}=4$ Wilson loops and type IIB "bubbling" wormholes



Wilson loops in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

- The 2d/1d model is reminiscent of SUSY localization computations of line/defect operators in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM [...]
- Idea: correlate representations of (1/2-BPS) Wilson loops W_R in higher dimensional examples that have known semiclassical holographic duals. Here: Consider two (non-interacting) copies of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and a correlated observable

$$\sum_{R} e^{w(R)} \langle W_R \rangle_1 \langle W_R \rangle_2 \quad W_R = \operatorname{tr}_R P \exp\left[i \oint ds (iA_\mu \dot{x}^\mu + \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\Phi} |\dot{x}|)\right]$$

• A single 1/2-BPS Wilson loop in the representation R is computed via localization resulting in a Hermitean matrix integral [Pestum ...]

$$\langle W_R \rangle = \langle \operatorname{tr}_R(e^M) \rangle_M = \frac{1}{Z} \int DM e^{-\frac{2N}{\lambda} \operatorname{tr} M^2} \chi_R(e^M)$$

 We would like to understand the limit where the operator is "very heavy" and backreacts strongly in the dual geometry

Wilson loops in large $(O(N^2))$ representations [Gomis, Okuda, Trancanelli ...]

- Representations with O(N) boxes are still light (D-branes etc.)
- We need to consider representations $R:\{R_1,..R_N\}$ with $O(N^2)$ boxes and the highest weights $R_i\sim O(N)$



- The Young diagram of such reps is described by a collection of rectangular blocks (each with $O(N^2)$ boxes), of size (n_I, k_I) specifying the number of rows/columns
- Once projected onto the real line, a "Maya diagram" is produced consisting of black and white lines
- The lines correspond to the cuts of the matrix model resolvent $\omega_R(z)$, which in turn dictates the form and properties of the dual SUGRA geometry

The type IIB backreacted geometries

• The geometry dual to a backreacted loop in rep R, has an $SO(2,1)\times SO(3)\times SO(5)$ isometry [D'Hoker-Estes- Gutperle, ...]

$$ds^{2} = f_{1}^{2} ds_{AdS_{2}}^{2} + f_{2}^{2} ds_{S^{2}}^{2} + f_{4}^{2} ds_{S^{4}}^{2} + 4\rho^{2} dz d\overline{z}$$

where z, \overline{z} parametrise a Riemann surface Σ and $f_{1,2,4}(z, \overline{z})$, $\rho(z, \overline{z})$. The Wilson loop is on the S^1 boundary of the AdS_2 disk

- The solution also contains a non-trivial dilaton and 3-cycles/5-cycles/7-cycles with RR/RR/NSNS fluxes supporting them (D5/D3/F1)
- Everything is determined by two harmonic functions $h_{1,2}(z, \overline{z})$. $h_2 = 0$ determines the boundary of Σ and h_1 contains the data of the "bubbling" geometry (cuts \leftrightarrow fluxes + singularity \leftrightarrow asymptotic $AdS_5 \times S^5$ region)



Connecting the MM resolvent with the harmonic functions

• One can show that the matrix model resolvent is related to the two harmonic functions $h_{1,2}$ via (y(z): "spectral - curve")

$$2\omega(z) = V'_c(z) - y(z), \qquad \rho(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\Im y(z), \quad z \in \mathcal{C}$$
$$h_1(z,\overline{z}) = \mathcal{A} + \overline{\mathcal{A}}, \qquad h_2(z,\overline{z}) = \mathcal{B} + \overline{\mathcal{B}}$$
$$iV'_c(z) = \frac{2i}{\lambda}z = \mathcal{B}(z), \qquad iy(z) = \mathcal{A}(z)$$

- This means that it completely determines the properties of the dual SUGRA geometry
- $h_{1,2}$ need to have common singularities on $\partial \Sigma$. Near such singularities the metric asymptotes to $AdS_5 \times S^5$. ex:

$$h_1 = rac{2i}{\lambda}\sqrt{z^2-\lambda} + {
m c.c.}\,, \qquad h_2 = rac{2i}{\lambda}z + {
m c.c.}\,.$$

• For a single Wilson loop in any rep, there is only a single such singularity. The topology of the boundary is an S^4 and the half-BPS Wilson loop wraps a great $S^1 \subset S^4$

Wormholes \equiv multiple singularities on $\partial \Sigma$

- We found solutions with more than one singularities/asymptotic regions, still preserving the regularity conditions of [D'Hoker-Estes- Gutperle, ...]
- The simplest such Σ corresponds to a disk with two cuts/singularities \equiv a square with two singularities [PB, Ji Hoon Lee, O. Papadoulaki]



$$h_1(z) = i\frac{2}{\lambda z}\sqrt{(z^2 - e_{min}^2)(z^2 - e_{max}^2)} + cc., \quad h_2(z) = i\frac{2}{\lambda}\left(z - \frac{e_{min}e_{max}}{z}\right) + cc$$

• We also found more complicated solutions that can be mapped to regular polygons with 2n edges and n singularities, as well as solutions when Σ is an annulus

¹/32

Geometric properties I: AdS₂ factor and "Janus"

- The two boundary wormhole geometry is a form of a double cover of $AdS_5\times S^5$ (dilaton is still constant)
- There is a caveat: The geometry has an $EAdS_2$ factor with disk topology and its boundary S^1 is shared by all the AdS_5 asymptotic boundaries (Σ singularities) that have the topology of S^4
- This means that the would-be distinct S⁴ boundaries are identified on a common S¹, in analogy with other Janus-type of solutions
 [D'Hoker, Estes, Gutperle, Bachas, Gomis, Assel ...]



• Still it is possible to connect separate points on the two S^4 's by traversing the bulk wormhole, without ever crossing the common S^1

An aside: Two boundary AdS_2 wormhole?

[PB - Papadoulaki (23)]

• What about using global *EAdS*₂ that has two boundaries (cylinder)?



- In this case away from the Σ singularities the geometry is the two boundary $EAdS_2 \times S^4 \times S^2 \times R_2$ (similar to the [Maldacena Milekhin Popov] wormhole geometries)
- At the Σ singularities, the former UV asymptotic S^4 's are now replaced by $S^3\times S^1$
- The two asymptotic S^1 's of the cylinder $EAdS_2$ comprise the S^1 's on the north and south poles of the S^3 .
- Consistent with the fact that one needs to have a pair of Polyakov loops (around the S^1), sitting on the north and south poles of S^3 (Gauss-law)

Matrix model dual of Σ wormhole with two S^4 boundaries

- The dual matrix model spectral curve needs two cuts and two singularities
- Use an "analogue of the Dirac- δ " for two 1/2-BPS loop operators on two copies of $\mathcal{N} = 4 \Rightarrow$ We "glue" the two copies of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Wilson loops

$$\langle \det \left(I \otimes I - e^{M_1} \otimes e^{M_2} \right)^{-1} \rangle_{1,2} = \sum_R \langle \chi_R(e^{M_1}) \rangle_1 \langle \chi_R(e^{M_2}) \rangle_2$$

If the matrices were unitary this would have been a Weyl-invariant delta function

- This can be analysed as a coupled two matrix model or as a model in the space of highest weights R_i of R
- For the multi-boundary wormholes use an \hat{A}_r necklace matrix chain and connect the nodes with determinant operators



Intuitive understanding of the 2MM: Two component gas

• The 2MM saddle point equations describe two types of particles

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{4N_1}{\lambda_1}\mu_i^{(1)} - \sum_{k=1}^{N_2} \frac{2}{\sinh(\mu_i^{(1)} + \mu_k^{(2)})} + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{2}{\mu_i^{(1)} - \mu_j^{(1)}} = 0 \,, \\ &-\frac{4N_2}{\lambda_2}\mu_k^{(2)} - \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \frac{2}{\sinh(\mu_i^{(1)} + \mu_k^{(2)})} + \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{2}{\mu_k^{(2)} - \mu_j^{(2)}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

with an 1-1 and 2-2 repulsion and 1-2 attraction to "mirror" points

• There is an overall Gaussian attractive potential \Rightarrow This leads to a paired 1-2 condensate at the origin (the additional pole of the planar resolvent)



• After lots of pairs condense, they create a repulsive effective potential for the rest of the eigenvalues

 The rest of the eigenvalues distribute on two opposite sides of the origin. At large-N they form two cuts, giving rise to the wormhole resolvent

²/32

The resolvent at large-N and strong coupling

- At strong 't Hooft coupling the saddle point equations simplify in terms of only rational functions (similar to two coupled O(2) models on a random lattice) [Kostov, Eynard ...]
- In this limit we can obtain an exact solution for the resolvent

$$\omega(z) = \frac{2}{\lambda} \left(z - \frac{ab}{z} \right) - \frac{2}{\lambda z} \sqrt{(z^2 - b^2)(z^2 - a^2)}$$
$$a = \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{3} - 1) \sqrt{\lambda}, \qquad b = \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{3} + 1) \sqrt{\lambda}$$

~

- The normalisability of the density of eigenvalues ($\int_{supp.} \rho(\mu) = 1$) fixes the end-points a, b in terms of the 't Hooft coupling λ
- The resulting harmonic functions $h_{1,2}$ correspond precisely to the ones we found in the gravitational description

Further properties of wormhole saddle

- One can compare the free energy of the wormhole saddle with two disconnected $AdS_5\times S^5$ spaces

$$\mathcal{F}_w - 2\mathcal{F}_{AdS} = -\frac{1}{2}\log\lambda$$

- The wormhole has lower free energy. (Indicative for its stability)
- One can also compute the expectation of probe Wilson loops. For example $W_f = {\rm tr}\, e^M$

$$\langle W_f \rangle_{AdS} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \rho_{AdS}(z) e^z = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} I_1(\sqrt{\lambda})$$
$$\langle W_f \rangle_{worm} = \frac{4}{\pi\lambda} \int_a^b \frac{dz}{z} \sqrt{(b^2 - z^2)(z^2 - a^2)} e^z$$

It grows with a slower rate with λ wtr to the AdS example

 Interesting to extend this to observables with coordinate dependence, such as correlators of local operators and match with the gravity side

Further comments and generalisations

• Take two copies of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ with $\mathcal{G}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_2$ symmetry and consider the general class of correlated observables

$$\sum_{R} e^{w(R)} \langle \chi_{R}(e^{M_{1}}) \rangle_{1} \langle \chi_{R}(e^{M_{2}}) \rangle_{2}$$

• $w(R) = 0 \Rightarrow$ The (wormhole) saddle breaks $\mathcal{G}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_2$ to $\mathcal{G}_{diag.}$ (ex: $16_1 \times 16_2 \rightarrow 16_{diag}$ - identification of supercharges)



- If we weigh the average with the quadratic Casimir $e^{w(R)} = e^{-LC_R^{(2)}}$ (2d-YM), \Rightarrow the S^{1} 's start to separate (cylinder)
- We can still find the resolvent in this case using the techniques in [Gross-Matytsin, Kazakov ...]
- The density becomes a "time dependent" function $\rho(\mu, \tau)$ obeying the EOMs of collective field theory with appropriate bcs (at $\tau = 0, L$)
- Unfortunately we do not have control over the dual geometry to develop this idea from the bulk side (need a less symmetric ansatze)

Summary and Future



Summary and Future Directions

Summary

- We proposed a general class of microscopic models for Euclidean Wormholes, in terms of BQFTs coupled via a higher dimensional TQFT
- These models are reflection positive and do not require any ad hoc averaging (over couplings/ensembles of CFTs or otherwise)
 no deviation from the usual holographic prescription and rules
 There is though a resulting sum over representations of the gauge group after we integrate out the "messenger" TQFT
- This makes the resulting field theoretic correlators to be compatible with dual computations on wormhole saddles
- We found that similar models can also arise by considering heavy correlated observables in otherwise decoupled QFTs We analysed the case of correlated Wilson loops between copies of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM. They give rise to "bubbling" wormhole geometries in IIB
- In the 1/2-BPS case we have exact control on both sides of the duality but the boundaries touch on one dimensional $S^1 \subset S^4$'s (similar to Janus)

A Hilbert space interpretation of our constructions

• For Lorentzian wormholes (eternal BH): $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{CFT1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{CFT2}$ and

$$|\Psi\rangle_{TFD} = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{n} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}E_n} |E_n\rangle_1 \otimes |E_n\rangle_2$$

- This correlates the energies of the two subsystems
- Our proposed models for Euclidean wormholes: Correlate ("entangle") U(N) representations and not energies as in the TFD
- Realisation I: Presence of gauge constraints (messenger TQFT) the Hilbert space is reduced into $\mathcal{H} = \sum_R \mathcal{H}_R^1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_R^2$. One could think this in terms of states

$$|\Psi\rangle_{RD} = \sum_{R} e^{w(R)} |R\rangle_1 \otimes |R\rangle_2$$

- Realisation II: Consider insertions of "heavy" operators that correlate the copies with a similar representation theoretic "entanglement" (ex: Wilson loops W_R in $\mathcal{N} = 4/IIB$)
- Future Realisation? An effective constraint on the Hilbert space could arise dynamically in the IR ("cross-confinement"/diagonal IR singlets: $U(N) \times U(N) \rightarrow U_{diag.}(N)$)

Future Directions

- The MQM non-singlet sectors are also relevant for black hole physics and involve similar sums over representations (c = 1 MQM). Connections? [Kazakov et al., PB - Papadoulaki]
- Other top down constructions embeddable in critical string theory ex: Gaiotto Witten systems on an interval [van Raamsdonk, Bachas, ..] Simplify by making the theory on the interval a TQFT "messenger"?
- Less (super)symmetric but still controllable examples of correlated loops or tripartite systems
- Understand better the Lorentzian continuations of our field theoretic setups and their holographic duals (Bang/Crunch Cosmologies) a $\Lambda < 0$ alternative to the dS/CFT correspondence?
- Study (target space) Euclidean wormhole backgrounds in string theory from the worldsheet perspective (WZW cosets?)
- Microscopic "wormhole gas" and replacement of Coleman's $|\alpha\rangle$ states with representations $|R\rangle$ of the dual QFT gauge group?

Thank you!



Scalar Correlators: Universal properties



- Momentum space: ⟨O₁O₁⟩ and ⟨O₂O₂⟩ have a similar behaviour in the UV as in the presence of a single boundary (power law divergence)
- In the IR they saturate to a constant positive value
- The cross correlator $\langle {\cal O}_1 {\cal O}_2 \rangle$ goes to zero in the UV and has a finite maximum in the IR
- Position space: $(EAdS_2)$ they behave as $\sim 1/\sinh^{2\Delta_+}(\Delta \tau)$ and $\sim 1/\cosh^{2\Delta_+}(\Delta \tau)$ respectively \Rightarrow No short distance singularity for the cross-correlator
- The qualitative behavior of the correlators is similar for several types of solutions ⇒ Universality

"Entangling" the representations (infinite τ)

- Define: $J^{\tau}_{MQM_{1,2}} = \delta S_{MQM_{1,2}} / \delta A^{1,2}_{\tau}$ U(N) MQM charges
- For τ non-compact: $A_{\tau} = 0 \Rightarrow$ non-perturbative constraint

$$\frac{1}{2g_{YM}^2 L} J_{YM}^{\tau} = \frac{1}{2g_{YM}^2 L} [W^{-1}, \partial^{\tau} W] = J_{MQM_1}^{\tau} - J_{MQM_2}^{\tau}, \quad W = \mathcal{P} \exp\left(\int_{-L}^{L} dz A_z\right)$$

 \boldsymbol{W} a Wilson line extending across the boundaries

• Each MQM Hamiltonian is $(M = U^{\dagger}\Lambda U, J = U^{\dagger}KU)$

$$\hat{H}_{MQM}^{R} = \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \lambda_{i}^{2}} + V(\lambda_{i}) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} \frac{K_{ij}^{R} K_{ij}^{R}}{(\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{j})^{2}} \right]$$

acting on wave-functions $\Psi_R(\lambda)=\prod_{i< j}(\lambda_i-\lambda_j)\tilde{\Psi}_R(\lambda)$ transforming in the U(N) representation R

• The representations of $MQM_{1,2}$ are "entangled" by the constraint



• The n-point cross-correlator takes the general form

$$\langle O_{i_1}(\tau_{i_1}) \dots \tilde{O}_{i_2}(\tau_{i_2}) \dots \rangle = \sum_R \langle O_{i_1}(\tau_{i_1}) \dots \rangle_1^R \langle \tilde{O}_{i_2}(\tau_{i_2}) \dots \rangle_2^R e^{-L\frac{g_{YM}^2}{n}C_R^{(2)} + i\theta|R|}$$

where i_1 refers to the first and i_2 to the second MQM subsystem

- This correlator generically only depends separately on the differences $\tau_{i_1} \tau_{j_1}$ and $\tau_{i_2} \tau_{j_2}$ and not on time differences that mix the 1, 2 sub-indices, or O_{i_1} with \tilde{O}_{i_2} operators
- No short distance singularities in the cross-correlators!
- The absence of short distance singularities in the cross correlators is a *robust-universal* feature of dual wormhole backgrounds